



**A LONG-TERM SEAGRASS MONITORING PROGRAM
FOR CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, UPPER LAGUNA MADRE,
AND BAFFIN BAY**

Final Report

Publication CBBEP – 182
Project Number – 2430

26 June 2024
Prepared by:

Kyle A. Capistrant-Fossa, M.S.
Sofia Armada Tapia, B.S.
and
Kenneth H. Dunton, Ph.D.

University of Texas at Austin Marine Science Institute
750 Channel View Drive, Port Aransas, TX 78373
Phone: (361) 749-6744
Fax: (361) 749-6777
E-mail: ken.dunton@utexas.edu

Submitted to:
Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program
1301 N. Shoreline Blvd., Suite 205
Corpus Christi, TX 78401

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of CBBEP or other organizations that may have provided funding for this project.

**A LONG-TERM SEAGRASS MONITORING
PROGRAM FOR CORPUS CHRISTI BAY,
UPPER LAGUNA MADRE, AND BAFFIN BAY**



**Final Report to Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries
Program**

Seagrass Monitoring Project 2430

26 June 2024

Kyle A. Capistrant-Fossa, Sofia Armada Tapia, and Kenneth H. Dunton

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study is part of the Texas seagrass monitoring program, with specific focus on Corpus Christi Bay (CCB), Baffin Bay (BB), and the Upper Laguna Madre (ULM), following protocols that evaluate seagrass condition based on landscape-scale dynamics. This work is a continuation of the efforts set forth by Dunton et al., 2011 to implement long-term monitoring to detect environmental changes with a focus on the ecological integrity of seagrass habitats. This approach follows a broad template adopted by several federal and state agencies across the country, but which is uniquely designed for Texas (Dunton et al. 2011) and integrates plant condition indicators with landscape feature indicators to detect and interpret seagrass bed disturbances. The purpose of this study is to provide insight regarding the ecological consequences of environmental changes, and help decision makers (e.g., various state and federal agencies) determine if the observed change necessitates a revision of regulatory policy or management practices. The primary questions addressed in the 2023 annual Tier-2 surveys include: 1) “What are the spatial and temporal patterns in the distribution of seagrasses over annual scales?”, 2) “What are the characteristics of these plant communities, including their species composition and percent cover?”, and 3) “How are any changes in seagrass percent cover and species composition related to measured characteristics of water quality?”.

Seagrasses covered a significant portion of sampled Tier-2 sites with greater average cover in Corpus Christi Bay (62.3%) than Upper Laguna Madre (55.5%) or Baffin Bay (44.8%). Seagrass coverage in CCB decreased from 2022 (66.3%). Seagrass canopy height has increased in some subregions indicating continued recovery after Hurricane Harvey and Winter Storm Uri. Many sites in ULM were deep (>1.5 m), which is near the light limit for seagrasses in the region. Only 5% of sites were barren in ULM when Tier-2 sampling began in 2011 compared to 24% in 2023. Seagrass coverage also increased in BB from 2022 (14.6%) particularly near ULM likely due to decreased water depth. Nonetheless, the water in BB is optically poor because of high attenuation caused by high chlorophyll *a* and total high suspended solid concentrations. *Halodule wrightii* and *Syringodium filiforme* were the most widely distributed seagrasses in all regions. *Thalassia testudinum* was only found in CCB while *Ruppia maritima* and *Halophila engelmannii* were rarely found in all systems.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	6-7
METHODS	8-10
Sampling Summary	8
Site Selection.....	8
Water Quality	8
Seagrass Cover	10
Spatial Data Analysis and Interpolation.....	10
RESULTS	11-19
Water Quality	11
Water Column Optical Properties	14
Seagrass Cover and Species Distributions	16
CONCLUSIONS.....	20-21
Corpus Christi Bay	20
Upper Laguna Madre	20-21
Baffin Bay	21
Summary	21-22
REFERENCES	31-33

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1 – Summary of water column hydrographic parameters by region.	13
TABLE 2 – Summary of water transparency property indicators by region.	15
TABLE 3 – Summary of plant areal cover by species and region.	18
TABLE 4 – Summary of plant canopy height by species and region.	19

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 – Sampling stations and seagrass coverage in Baffin Bay, Corpus Christi Bay, and Upper Laguna Madre.	9
FIGURE 2 – Spatial representations of percent cover for <i>Halodule wrightii</i> for 2023.	2
FIGURE 3 – Spatial representations of percent cover for <i>Thalassia testudinum</i> for 2023.	24
FIGURE 4 – Spatial representations of percent cover for <i>Syringodium filiforme</i> for 2023.	25
FIGURE 5 – Spatial representations of percent cover for <i>Ruppia maritima</i> for 2023.	26
FIGURE 6 – Spatial representations of percent cover for <i>Halophila engelmannii</i> for 2023.	27
FIGURE 7 – Spatial representations of percent cover for all seagrass species for 2023.	28
FIGURE 8 – Spatial representations of percent cover for living, non-seagrass ecosystem components for 2023.	29
FIGURE 9 – Spatial representations of percent cover for seagrass wrack for 2023.	30

INTRODUCTION

In 1999, the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD), along with the Texas General Land Office (TGLO) and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), drafted a Seagrass Conservation Plan that proposed, among other things, a seagrass habitat monitoring program (Pulich & Calnan, 1999). One of the main recommendations of this plan was to develop a coast wide monitoring program. In response, the Texas Seagrass Monitoring Plan (TSGMP) proposed a monitoring effort to detect changes in seagrass ecosystem conditions prior to actual seagrass mortality (Pulich et al., 2003). However, implementation of the plan required additional research to specifically identify the environmental parameters that elicit a seagrass stress response and the physiological or morphological variables that best reflect the impact of these environmental stressors.

Numerous researchers have related seagrass health to environmental stressors; however, these studies have not arrived at a consensus regarding the most effective habitat quality and seagrass condition indicators. Kirkman (1996) recommended biomass, productivity, and density for monitoring seagrass whereas other researchers focused on changes in seagrass distribution as a function of environmental stressors (Dennison et al., 1993, Livingston et al., 1998, Koch 2001, and Fourqurean et al., 2003). The consensus among these studies revealed that salinity, depth, light, nutrient concentrations, sediment characteristics, and temperature were among the most important variables that produced a response in a measured seagrass indicator. The relative influence of these environmental variables is likely a function of the seagrass species in question, the geographic location of the study, hydrography, methodology, and other factors specific to local climatology. Because no generalized approach can be extracted from previous research, careful analysis of regional seagrass ecosystems is necessary to develop an effective monitoring program for Texas. Conservation efforts should seek to develop a conceptual model that outlines the linkages among seagrass ecosystem components and the role of indicators as predictive tools to assess the seagrass physiological response to stressors at various temporal and spatial scales. Tasks for this objective include the identification of stressors that arise from human-induced disturbances, which can result in seagrass loss or compromise plant physiological condition. For example, stressors that lead to higher water turbidity and light attenuation (e.g., dredging and shoreline erosion) are known to result in lower below-ground seagrass biomass and alterations to sediment nutrient concentrations. It is therefore necessary to evaluate long-term light measurements, the biomass of above- versus below-ground tissues and the concentrations of nutrients, sulfides, and dissolved oxygen in sediment porewater when examining the linkages between light attenuation and seagrass health.

This study is part of the Texas seagrass monitoring program, with specific focus on Corpus Christi Bay (CCB), Upper Laguna Madre (ULM), and Baffin Bay (BB) following protocols that evaluate seagrass condition based on landscape-scale dynamics (Figure 1). Secondary bays within each system that have high seagrass coverage were also included (e.g., Nueces Bay, Alazan Bay). The program is based on a hierarchical strategy for seagrass monitoring outlined by Neckles et al. (2012) to establish the quantitative relationships between physical and biotic parameters that ultimately control seagrass condition, distribution, persistence, and overall health. This approach follows a broad template adopted by several federal and state agencies across the country but is uniquely designed for Texas (Dunton et al., 2011) and integrates plant condition indicators with landscape feature indicators to detect and interpret seagrass bed disturbances.

The objectives of this study were to (1) implement long-term monitoring to detect environmental changes with a focus on the ecological integrity of seagrass habitats, (2) provide insight to the ecological consequences of these changes, and (3) help decision makers (e.g., various state and federal agencies) determine if the observed change necessitates a revision of regulatory policy or management practices. We defined ecological integrity as the capacity of the seagrass system to support and maintain a balanced, integrated, and adaptive community of flora and fauna including its characteristic foundation seagrass species. Ecological integrity was assessed using a suite of condition indicators (physical, biological, hydrological, and chemical) measured annually on wide spatial scales.

The primary questions addressed in the 2023 annual Tier-2 surveys include:

- 1) What are the spatial and temporal patterns in the distribution of seagrasses over annual scales?
- 2) What are the characteristics of these plant communities, including their species composition and percent cover?
- 3) How are any changes in seagrass percent cover and species composition, related to measured characteristics of water quality?

METHODS

Sampling Summary

Tier-2 protocols (rapid assessment sampling methods) are adapted from Neckles et al. (2012). We conducted Tier-2 sampling from August to December 2023. Stations in Corpus Christi Bay were sampled in November (7, 8, 28) September (12) and October (10, 12). Stations in the Upper Laguna Madre were sampled in September (18, 20, 26), October (13), November (9) and December (11). Stations in Baffin Bay were sampled in August (15, 16), November (2, 3) and December (19). For statistical rigor, a repeated measures design with fixed sampling stations was implemented to maximize our ability to detect future change. Neckles et al. (2012) demonstrated that the Tier-2 approach, when all sampling stations are considered together within a regional system, results in > 99% probability that the bias in overall estimates will not interfere with detection of change.

Site Selection

The Tier-2 sampling program compliments ongoing remote sensing efforts. Therefore, we selected sites from vegetation maps generated with aerial and satellite imagery during the 2004/2007 NOAA Benthic Habitat Assessment (ULM/CCB) and the 2022 NOAA Seagrass Database (BB). The vegetation maps were then tessellated using hexagons, and sample locations were randomly selected within each hexagon (Figure 1). Only hexagons containing > 50% seagrass cover were included in 2023 sampling efforts for ULM and CCB. Additional stations with < 50% cover were included in BB to fully sample the extent of seagrasses in the system.

Water Quality

All sampling stations were located using a handheld GPS device to be within a 10 m radius of the pre-determined station coordinates. Upon arrival to a station, hydrographic measurements including water depth, conductivity, temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll fluorescence and pH were collected with a YSI 6920 data sonde. Water samples were obtained at each station for determination of Total Suspended Solid (TSS) concentration. Water transparency was derived from measurements of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) using two LI-COR spherical quantum scalar sensors attached to a lowering frame. All sonde measurements and water samples were obtained prior to the deployment of benthic sampling equipment.




Figure 1. Tessellated boundaries of submerged vegetation delineated during the 2004/2007 NOAA Benthic Habitat Assessment (a, b) or the 2023 NOAA seagrass dataset (c). Resulting stations in a) Upper Laguna Madre (n = 144), b) Corpus Christi Bay (n = 81), or c) Baffin Bay (n = 110) are identified in text on map. Stations outside the National Park Service boundary in Upper Laguna Madre are funded by CBBEP (n = 92) and are delineated by the light purple line on the map. Stations must have $>50\%$ seagrass coverage in CCB and ULM, but BB stations in black have $<50\%$.

Seagrass Cover

Species composition and areal cover were obtained from four replicate quadrat samples per station at each of the four cardinal locations from the vessel. Percent cover of areal biomass was estimated by direct vertical observation of the seagrass canopy through the water using a 0.25 m² quadrat framer subdivided into 100 cells. Previous research has demonstrated that the probability of achieving a bias is less than 5% of the overall mean when using only four subsamples (Neckles, pers. comm.).

Spatial Data Analysis and Interpolation

ArcGIS software (Environmental Systems Research Institute) was used to manage, analyze, and display spatially referenced point samples and interpolate surfaces for all measured parameters. An inverse distance weighted method was used to assign a value to areas (cells) between sampling points. A total of 12 sampling stations were identified from a variable search radius to generate the value for a single unknown output cell (100 m²). All data interpolation was spatially restricted to the geographic limits of the 2023 NOAA USA Seagrass Distribution database.

RESULTS

Water Quality

Corpus Christi Bay

Corpus Christi Bay stations had a mean water depth of 81.7 ± 25.1 cm (mean \pm standard deviation), water temperature of $25 \pm 6.2^\circ\text{C}$, and salinity of 42.2 ± 2.5 (Table 1). Overall, stations were cooler, shallower, and saltier in 2023 than 2022 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Dissolved oxygen concentrations were 6.6 ± 1.5 mg L⁻¹ with an oxygen saturation of $99.4 \pm 21.5\%$ (Table 1). No hypoxic (≤ 2 mg L⁻¹) or low oxygen (≤ 3 mg L⁻¹) conditions were documented. The mean pH value for CCB was 8.2 ± 0.1 (Table 1). Many stations had pH < 8 including East Flats, Shamrock Bay, Redfish Bay, and the Nueces Bay Causeway.

Upper Laguna Madre

Stations had a mean depth of 99.8 ± 43.7 cm and an average water temperature of 25.9 ± 5.6 °C (Table 1). The system was hypersaline because mean salinity (49.6 ± 6.7) was greater than typical oceanic conditions (Table 1). Overall, waters were shallower, saltier, and warmer than 2022/2021 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Nine Mile Hole has become extremely hypersaline (~ 60) over the past year. This area is notorious for extremely hypersaline conditions during periods of low rainfall which ultimately causes high physiological stress on the plants, even for a tolerant species such as *Halodule wrightii*. The ULM typically experiences hypersaline conditions because of its limited connection to Gulf waters and the lack of any significant freshwater source. However, salinity has been relatively low the past few years due to large amounts of precipitation. The mean dissolved oxygen concentration and saturation was 7 ± 2 mg L⁻¹ (Table 1) and $111.9 \pm 22.3\%$ (Table 1), respectively. No monitoring sites were hypoxic (< 2 mg L⁻¹) or had low oxygen (< 3 mg L⁻¹). The mean pH was 8.0 ± 0.3 (Table 1), with highest values near the mouth of Baffin Bay.

Baffin Bay

Stations in Baffin Bay had a mean water depth of 70.1 ± 39.4 cm (Table 1). Particularly near the mouth of the system, the sites were shallower compared to 2022. BB was the coolest ($22.9 \pm 7.7^\circ\text{C}$) and most saline (58.3 ± 16.7) estuary sampled during 2023 (Table 1). Hypersaline conditions are common in this area due to the low freshwater inflow and high evaporation rates, with a high residency time (An & Gardner, 2002). Regions in BB that were particularly isolated (e.g. Cayo del Infiernillo) had the highest salinity ranges, with values of up to 114.43. Overall, the stations were warmer and saltier compared to

2022. This can be attributed to the different sampling periods, where sites from 2023 were monitored earlier in the season (August, November, December) compared to 2022 (October, November, December). The mean dissolved oxygen concentration was 7.4 ± 2.3 mg L⁻¹ with a saturation of $117.4 \pm 36.2\%$ (Table 1). No hypoxic (≤ 2 mg L⁻¹) sites were documented in the region, but three sites had low oxygen concentrations (< 3 mg L⁻¹). The mean pH was 8.1 ± 0.2 (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of water column hydrographic parameters by region.

	Depth	Temperature	Salinity	Dissolved Oxygen	Dissolved Oxygen	pH
	(cm)	(°C)		(mg L⁻¹)	(%)	
CCB						
Mean	81.7	25	42.2	6.6	99.4	8.2
Std. Dev.	25.1	6.2	2.5	1.5	21.5	0.1
ULM						
Mean	99.8	25.9	49.6	7	111.9	8.0
Std. Dev.	43.7	5.6	6.7	2	22.3	0.3
BB						
Mean	70.1	22.9	58.3	7.4	117.4	8.1
Std. Dev.	39.4	7.7	16.7	2.3	36.2	0.2

Water Column Optical Properties

Corpus Christi Bay

The mean downward light attenuation coefficient (K_d) was $1.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ m}^{-1}$ for the CCB region (Table 2). Light attenuation was greatest near Redfish Bay, which coincided with higher TSS values in the area. Chlorophyll concentrations were less variable ($8.2 \pm 2.8 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) than TSS ($23.4 \pm 22.7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) measurements (Table 2). Mean Secchi depth varied among stations ($72.7 \pm 22.6 \text{ cm}$) but overall, visibility at most stations was near the entire depth of the water column or within 10 cm of the vegetated or sediment surface (Table 2). Overall, water quality conditions were similar in 2023 to 2022 for CCB (Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2023).

Upper Laguna Madre

Monitoring in 2023 revealed a lower and less variable mean downward light attenuation coefficient (K_d ; $1.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ m}^{-1}$) than in 2022 and like 2021 (Table 2, Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Higher light attenuation coefficients were observed near the JFK Causeway, Baffin Bay, and Nine Mile Hole. Additionally, water column chlorophyll ($8.8 \pm 3 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$; Table 2) and TSS ($17.9 \pm 10.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; Table 2) were higher in 2023 compared to 2022 and 2021 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Mean Secchi depth was variable ($63.3 \pm 23.6 \text{ cm}$; Table 2) and water transparency was relatively low compared to 2022/2021 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). At most stations, visibility was within 30 cm of the vegetated or sediment surface, on average.

Baffin Bay

The downward light attenuation coefficient (K_d) had a mean value of $1.7 \pm 0.9 \text{ m}^{-1}$ (Table 2), with higher values located near the mouth of BB. Most samples were taken from this area because water depths were too shallow elsewhere. TSS concentrations were highly variable $24.9 \pm 17.7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and the mean Secchi depth ($48.9 \pm 21.4 \text{ cm}$) was variable among stations (Table 2). On average the visibility was within 30 cm of the vegetated or sediment surface. Overall, the water conditions were optically better compared to 2022, potentially due to decreased water depth (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023).

Table 2. Summary of water transparency property indicators by region.

		K_d	Secchi	Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	Total Suspended Solids
		(m⁻¹)	(cm)	(µg L⁻¹)	(mg L⁻¹)
CCB	Mean	1.4	72.7	8.2	23.4
	Std. Dev.	0.6	22.6	2.6	22.7
ULM	Mean	1.2	63.3	8.8	17.9*
	Std. Dev.	0.6	23.6	3	10.4*
BB	Mean	1.7	48.9	-	24.9*
	Std. Dev.	0.9	21.4	-	17.7*

*We have temporarily removed some samples with high TSS values from analysis until we can verify their accuracy. We have used a cutoff of 3.5 median z-scores away as a cutoff for outlier detection.

Seagrass Cover and Species Distributions

Corpus Christi Bay

The mean seagrass coverage for sites sampled in the CCB region was 62.3%. The seagrass assemblage (Table 3) in CCB was dominated by *Halodule wrightii* ($38 \pm 40.8\%$; Figure 2), followed by *Thalassia testudinum* ($16.5 \pm 30\%$; Figure 3) and *Syringodium filiforme* ($7.7 \pm 20\%$; Figure 4), with minor contributions from *Halophila engelmannii* ($0.1 \pm 0.4\%$; Figure 6) and *Ruppia maritima* ($0 \pm 0.1\%$; Figure 5). *Halodule wrightii* was most widely distributed within the CCB region relative to the other seagrass species (Figure 2). However, minimal cover was observed in the southwest portion of Redfish Bay, which was dominated by *Thalassia testudinum*. Four stations ($\sim 5\%$) in the CCB did not have vegetation present. Low seagrass cover was observed in southern Redfish Bay near Ingleside and Aransas Pass, and northwest of the JFK causeway (Figure 7). Overall, *Thalassia testudinum* and *Halodule wrightii* coverage in the CCB remained stable between 2021 and 2022 (Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2023), while *Syringodium filiforme* coverage increased by $\sim 6\%$. Canopy height (Table 4) was greatest in *Thalassia testudinum* (19.9 ± 4.3 cm), followed by *Syringodium filiforme* (18.2 ± 7.5 cm), *Halodule wrightii* (15 ± 4.3 cm), *Ruppia maritima* (6.1 ± 2.5 cm) and *Halophila engelmannii* (3.3 ± 2.4 cm).

Upper Laguna Madre

The mean seagrass cover for all species was 55.5%, the highest coverage since 2021 because of *Syringodium filiforme* (2.8%) gains. However, the coverage of the dominant seagrass, *Halodule wrightii*, decreased (-1.4% ; Table 3; Figure 2). *Ruppia maritima* was not found in Nine Mile Hole, whereas it was present in ULM in 2022 (Figure 3, Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). No *Thalassia testudinum* was present during sampling. Thirty-five sampling stations in 2023 and 2022 (24%) were devoid of vegetation compared to only twenty-five sampling stations in 2021. Typically, stations that were bare or had low seagrass cover corresponded with greater water depths (>1.5 m) especially those located along the northwestern shore of Laguna Madre (Figure 4). Seagrass coverage was also low in Nine Mile Hole where some sites had substantial amounts of drift wrack (i.e., mats of dead seagrass). *Syringodium filiforme* has maintained high cover near the JFK Causeway and the mouth of Baffin Bay (Figure 5). *Halophila* was rarely found in northern ULM and Nine Mile Hole (Figure 6). Little rooted wrack (dead seagrass) or attached macroalgae was found in ULM (Figures 7, 8). The highest canopy height values were observed in *Syringodium filiforme* (20.7 ± 5.8 cm; Table 4), followed by *Halodule wrightii* (16.8 ± 7.8 cm), and *Halophila engelmannii* (2.0 ± 0.2 cm). Mean canopy height was significantly lower in 2023 than 2022 and 2021 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023).

Baffin Bay

The mean seagrass cover for sites in Baffin Bay was 44.8% for all species. The seagrass percent cover was 14.6% higher than in 2022 due to the increase of *Halodule wrightii* (14.7%, Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Overall, BB was mainly composed of *Halodule wrightii* ($43.4 \pm 42.1\%$; Table 3, Figure 2) with the highest abundance located on the mouth of the bay, along the southwest and northwest shore near Upper Laguna Madre. Furthermore, a small patch on the northern section of Cayo del Grullo gained more percent cover compared to 2022 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Other species like *Syringodium filiforme* ($0.6 \pm 5.4\%$; Table 3, Figure 4), *Ruppia maritima* ($0.1 \pm 1.3\%$; Table 3, Figure 5), and *Halophila engelmannii* ($0.7 \pm 4.5\%$; Table 3, Figure 6) had minor contributions with small patches in different regions of the system. *Syringodium filiforme* and *Ruppia maritima* had a decrease of 0.1% and 0.6% respectively, although patches of these species were found in the same stations as in 2022 (e.g. *S. filiforme* in the mouth of bay, and *R. maritima* in Cayo del Grullo). *Halophila engelmannii* was located on the mouth of the bay near Upper Laguna Madre, with an increase of 0.6%. *Thalassia testudinum* was not found in any of the stations. Additionally, seagrass was not found in twenty-nine stations (32.9%) located near Laguna Salada, Alazan Bay, and Cayo del Grullo (Figure 7). These regions coincide with the bare patches reported in 2022. Canopy height was highest in *Halodule wrightii* with a mean of 17.2 ± 6.6 cm (Table 4), followed by *Syringodium filiforme* with a mean of 13.7 ± 2.7 cm (Table 4), *Halophila engelmannii* (6.6 ± 1.5 cm; Table 4), and *Ruppia maritima* (3.6 ± 1.7 cm; Table 4).

Table 3. Summary of plant areal cover by species and region.

	<i>H. wrightii</i> (% cover)	<i>T. testudinum</i> (% cover)	<i>S. filiforme</i> (% cover)	<i>R. maritima</i> (% cover)	<i>H. engelmannii</i> (% cover)	Bare (% cover)	Wrack (% cover)	Other (% cover)
CCB								
Mean	38	16.5	7.7	0	0.1	37.5	0.3	0.1
Std. Dev.	40.8	30	20	0.1	0.4	36.6	1.8	0.4
ULM								
Mean	46.2	0	8.8	0	0.5	44.2	0	0.3
Std. Dev.	42.3	0	22.6	0	3.4	41.4	0.4	1.3
BB								
Mean	43.4	0	0.6	0.1	0.7	52.2	2.9	0
Std. Dev.	42.1	0	5.4	1.3	4.5	42.6	14.5	0

Table 4. Summary of plant canopy height by species and region.

		<i>H. wrightii</i>	<i>T. testudinum</i>	<i>S. filiforme</i>	<i>R. maritima</i>	<i>H. engelmannii</i>
		(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)
CCB	Mean	15	19.9	18.2	6.1	3.3
	Std. Dev.	4.3	4.3	7.5	2.5	2.4
ULM	Mean	16.8	-	20.7	-	2.0
	Std. Dev.	7.8	-	5.8	-	0.2
BB	Mean	17.2	-	13.7	3.6	6.6
	Std. Dev.	6.6	-	2.7	1.7	1.5

CONCLUSIONS

Corpus Christi Bay

In south Redfish Bay, we observed a greater presence of *Thalassia testudinum* in the west portion while *Halodule wrightii* dominated the area to the east (Harbor Island). The average water depth is lower in east Redfish Bay than in the west portion and this difference may explain seagrass distribution within the CCB region. Overall, the mixed assemblage of seagrasses covers approximately 62.3% of the seabed in CCB which decreased from the post Hurricane Harvey (2017) value of 65% (Reyna & Dunton, 2019). The decrease in seagrass cover is concerning given the impact of Hurricane Harvey in 2017 (Congdon et al., 2019). *Thalassia testudinum* and *Halodule wrightii* cover has decreased since 2018, but the average canopy height for both has increased. Seagrass coverage was low in 2023 probably because of large amounts of drift macroalgae. Seaweeds may smother seagrasses, compete for nutrients, and decrease available light for photosynthesis (Kopecky & Dunton, 2006). Future monitoring is needed to determine if historical *Thalassia testudinum* beds will recover from Hurricane Harvey and Winter Storm Uri, be replaced by pioneer species, or remain unvegetated. Spatial patterns suggest that *Syringodium filiforme* extended its range further north into Shamrock Cove and *Halodule wrightii* decreased in cover near Shamrock Cove and East Flats.

Upper Laguna Madre

Overall, water quality across the ULM region was worse for seagrass growth in 2023 than 2022 (Capistrant-Fossa et al., 2023). Increased chlorophyll-*a* and suspended solid concentrations likely elevated the light attenuation coefficients. Decreases in light availability are one of the major drivers of seagrass loss worldwide, and likely contributed to the decreased height. However, canopy cover increased suggesting ecosystem resilience. Increased phytoplankton concentrations (measured via chlorophyll *a*) could potentially compensate for lost seagrass production. Additionally, the number of completely barren locations has reached ~25% of all monitoring sites within ULM. Seagrass cover was lower along the western shore of Laguna Madre, likely because of diminished light availability in deeper waters (Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2024). In contrast, seagrasses were particularly prevalent in shallower areas along the eastern shore of Laguna Madre into Nine Mile Hole. *Halodule wrightii* cover decreased in Nine Mile Hole which we attribute to higher salinities because of decreased precipitation. Due to minimal flushing and freshwater inflow, the ULM is susceptible to periods of hypersaline conditions during extended periods of aridity. Overall, seagrasses covered approximately 55.5% of the seabed in the ULM, significantly less than the coverage of 66% in 2018. This significant decrease in seagrass coverage suggests large-scale seagrass declines are occurring within

the ULM, possibly from climatic drivers or decreased water quality (Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2024). Future monitoring efforts will be able to document and identify the expansions and contractions of *Syringodium filiforme* and *Halodule wrightii* within the ULM that are largely driven by changes in water quality, climate, and species competition (Wilson and Dunton, 2018; Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2024).

Baffin Bay

Seagrass coverage increased in 2023 from 30.2% (2022) to 44.8% (2023), and meadows were present at more sites near the mouth of BB. In 2022 40% of the sites were completely bare, compared to only 32.9% in 2023. Decreased water depth (< 1m) may have allowed seagrasses to expand their distribution in 2023. Water depth coupled with light availability can control the community composition of seagrass (Duarte, 1991). Regardless, BB had the worst optical conditions for seagrass growth across our monitored bay systems with high TSS and K_d values. This likely explains the low coverage of seagrass in BB compared to ULM and CCB. However, salinity may also play an important role because BB is often hypersaline due to its low riverine input and oceanic exchange (Beecraft & Wetz, 2022). The dominant species, *Halodule wrightii*, can survive salinities from 40 – 114 allowing it to survive in BB (McMillan & Moseley 1967) compared to other species like *S. filiforme*, *R. maritima*, and *T. testudinum* (Koch et al. 2007, Wilson & Duarte 2018). Combined, these factors could potentially drive this area to have the lowest seagrass meadow coverage during Tier-2 sampling.

Summary

Differences in water quality trends help explain the significant variation in seagrass meadow coverage between bay systems. Waters in BB were optically poor because of high light attenuation coefficients and suspended solid concentrations leading to low light penetration. Although sampling in BB typically took place later in the growing season than CCB or ULM, these patterns are likely robust. Likewise, TSS concentrations are relatively low in Nov-Feb compared to other parts of the year in CCB and ULM because of frontal passages (Reisinger et al., 2017). Consequently, seagrass meadows were sparser and more barren in BB compared to other systems. However, seasonality likely also contributed to this decrease because of plant senescence in cooler temperatures. Earlier in the season, preliminary site visits noted seagrass presence at sites that were subsequently barren. Therefore, our measurements likely underestimate the amount of seagrass in BB but truly reflect a lesser meadow coverage and stature within the system. Furthermore, this positive relationship between optical properties and meadow coverage is highlighted when comparing CCB and ULM because the more suitable environment within CCB during 2023 promoted more seagrass growth than in ULM. Unfortunately, environmental conditions

within ULM appear to be degrading. Only 5% of sites were barren in ULM when Tier-2 sampling began in 2011 compared to 24% in 2022 (Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2024). Research suggests this is related to rising sea levels in the Upper Laguna Madre (Capistrant-Fossa & Dunton, 2024).

FIGURES




Figure 2. Spatial representations of percent cover for *Halodule wrightii* for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 3. Spatial representations of percent cover for *Thalassia testudinum* for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 4. Spatial representations of percent cover for *Syringodium filiforme* for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 5. Spatial representations of percent cover for *Ruppia maritima* for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 6. Spatial representations of percent cover for *Halophila engelmannii* for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 7. Spatial representations of percent cover for all seagrass species for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 8. Spatial representations of percent cover for living, non-seagrass, ecosystem components (e.g., macroalgae) for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.




Figure 9. Spatial representations of percent cover for seagrass wrack for 2023. The spatial data interpolation is limited to the boundaries of seagrass habitat delineated by the 2023 NOAA USA seagrass distribution database.

REFERENCES

- An S. and W.S. Gardner. 2002. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) as a nitrogen link, versus denitrification as a sink in a shallow estuary (Laguna Madre/Baffin Bay, Texas). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 237:41-50. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps237041>
- Beecraft L. and M.S. Wetz. 2022. Temporal variability in water quality and phytoplankton biomass in a low-inflow estuary (Baffin Bay, TX). *Estuaries and Coasts*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-022-01145-y> <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-022-01145-y>
- Capistrant-Fossa K.A., Tapia S.A., and K.H. Dunton. 2023. A Long-term Seagrass Monitoring Program for Corpus Christi Bay and Upper Laguna Madre and Baffin Bay. Final Report to Coastal Bend, Bays, and Estuaries Program for Project #2330, Publication #162.
- Capistrant-Fossa K.A. and K.H. Dunton. 2024. Rapid sea level rise causes loss of seagrass meadows. *Communications Earth & Environment* 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01236-7>
- Congdon V.M., C. Bonsell, M.R. Cuddy and K.H. Dunton. 2019. In the wake of a major hurricane: differential effects on early vs. late successional seagrass species. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters* 4(5):155-163.
- Dennison W.C., R.J. Orth, K.A. Moore, J.C. Stevenson, V. Carter, S. Kollar, P.W. Bergstrom and R.A. Batiuk. 1993. Assessing Water Quality with Submersed Aquatic Vegetation. *BioScience* 43:86-94.
- Duarte, C. M. 1991. Seagrass depth limits. *Aquatic Botany* 40: 363-377
- Dunton K H., W. Pulich Jr. and T. Mutchler. 2011. A seagrass monitoring program for Texas coastal waters. 39 pp. <https://texasseagrass.org/doc/A%20Seagrass%20Monitoring%20Program%20for%20Texas%201-10-11.pdf>.
- Fourqurean J.W., J.N. Boyer, M.J. Durako, L.N. Hefty and B.J. Peterson. 2003. Forecasting responses of seagrass distributions to changing water quality using monitoring data. *Ecological Applications* 13:474-489.
- Kirkman H. 1996. Baseline and Monitoring Methods for Seagrass Meadows. *Journal of Environmental Management* 47:191-201.

- Koch E.W. 2001. Beyond light: Physical, geological, and geochemical parameters as possible submersed aquatic vegetation habitat requirements. *Estuaries and Coasts* 24:1-17.
- Koch M.S., Schopmeyer S.A., Kyhn-Hansen C., Madden C. J., Peters J.S. 2007. Tropical seagrass species tolerance to hypersalinity stress. *Aquatic botany* 86:14-24
- Kopeccky A.L. and K.H. Dunton. 2006. Variability in drift macroalgal abundance in relation to biotic and abiotic factors in two seagrass dominated estuaries in the western Gulf of Mexico. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29(4):617-629.
- Livingston R.J., S.E. McGlynn and N. Xufeng. 1998. Factors controlling seagrass growth in a Gulf Coastal System: Water and sediment quality and light. *Aquatic Botany* 60: 135-159.
- McMillan C., Moseley F.N. 1967. Salinity tolerances of five marine spermatophytes of Redfish Bay, Texas. *Ecology* 48(3): 503-506
- Neckles H.A., B.S. Kopp, B.J. Peterson and P.S. Pooler. 2012. Integrating scales of seagrass monitoring to meet conservation needs. *Estuaries and Coasts* 35:23-46.
- Pulich Jr W.M. and T. Calnan. (eds.) 1999. Seagrass Conservation Plan for Texas. Resource Protection Division. Austin, Texas: Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. 67 pp.
- Pulich Jr. W.M., B. Hardegree, A. Kopeccky, S. Schwelling, C.P. Onuf and K.H. Dunton. 2003. Texas Seagrass Monitoring Strategic Plan (TSMSP). Publ. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Resource Protection Division, Austin, Texas. 27 pp.
- Reisinger A., J.C. Gibeaut and Tissot P.E. 2017. Estuarine suspended sediment dynamics: Observations from over a decade of satellite data. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00233>
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00233>
- Reyna N.E. and K.H. Dunton. 2019. A Long-term Seagrass Monitoring Program for Corpus Christi Bay and Upper Laguna Madre. Final Report to Coastal Bend, Bays, and Estuaries Program for Project #1826.
- Wilson S.S. and K.H. Dunton. 2018. Hypersalinity during regional drought drives mass mortality of the seagrass *Syringodium filiforme* in a subtropical lagoon. *Estuaries and Coasts* 41(3):855-865.