

USE OF HISTORICAL DATA TO ASSESS CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECTS: NUECES DELTA

Final Report

Publication CBBEP – 184 Project Number – 2425

1 August 2024

Prepared by: Berit E. Batterton, B.A. Monroe A. Person, B.S. and Kenneth H. Dunton, Ph.D.

University of Texas at Austin Marine Science Institute 750 Channel View Drive, Port Aransas, TX 78373 Phone: (361) 749-6744 Fax: (361) 749-6777 E-mail: ken.dunton@utexas.edu

> Submitted to: **Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program** 1305 N. Shoreline Blvd., Suite 205 Corpus Christi, TX 78401

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of CBBEP or other organizations that may have provided funding for this project.

USE OF HISTORICAL DATA TO ASSESS CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECTS: NUECES DELTA

Final Report to Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program Project 2425

1 August 2024

Berit E. Batterton, Monroe A. Person, and Kenneth H. Dunton

List of Figures

List of Tables

Appendices

List of Abbreviations

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this study is to provide CBBEP and resource agencies with the information needed to determine and plan for the impacts of future environmental change on marsh habitats in the Nueces Delta. This work is a subset of research by scientists at the University of Texas Marine Science Institute to implement long-term monitoring to detect environmental changes, focusing on the ecological integrity of marsh vegetation communities. Long-term ecological monitoring is an extremely valuable tool for evaluating ecological baselines, assessing historical ecological change, and making informed and effective decisions for adaptive management of water and habitat resources. The primary questions addressed include: 1) "What are the characteristics of Nueces Delta marsh vegetation communities, including their species composition and percent cover?", 2) "How are changes in marsh condition and environmental quality related to climate?", and 3) "What are the characteristics of the current body of scientific literature regarding the Nueces Delta?".

Marsh vegetation covered a sizable portion of all six monitoring sites (73.1%). Dominant plant species included *Salicornia virginica*, *Borrichia frutescens*, and *Batis maritima*. However, significant losses in vegetated marsh area due to erosion or increases in water level have occurred over time. Mean porewater salinity values frequently met or exceeded thresholds for hypersalinity (>35), and nearly all water quality parameters displayed high variability and extreme values. Many shifts in both vegetation community composition and water quality correspond to patterns in climatic conditions, especially within the ten-year period from 2007-2017. Based on monitoring data gathered in this study, both hypersalinity caused by drought conditions and erosional losses have the potential to be the most critical stressors and drivers of vegetation change and overall marsh resilience in the Nueces Delta.

Addressing complex ecological questions, such as the quantitative impacts of climate on vegetation dynamics, is extremely data-intensive. Additional sources of data are often needed to supplement in-situ measurements for statistical analyses. Thus, publicly accessible sources of data are extremely valuable for ecological research. Our proposed solution, a web-based dashboard (Shiny app), will increase the accessibility of our long-term monitoring dataset and significantly improve ease of use and engagement with the data for researchers and the public alike.

The Nueces Delta is the southernmost marsh system in Texas and the Gulf Coast. South Texas is experiencing a drought that has persisted for over three decades and has deeply impacted coastal ecosystems. For the Nueces Delta, management strategies for conservation of the dynamic vegetative landscape and its productivity should include the replenishment of sediments, improved freshwater inflow management (i.e., water diversion, etc.), and shoreline erosion abatement (e.g., living shorelines). Continued research priorities should include diverse taxa (i.e., fish, shorebirds, etc.), ecosystem functions (i.e., carbon sequestration, wave attenuation, etc.), and long-term processes (e.g., impacts of climate change on community dynamics).

INTRODUCTION

Since 1995, members of the University of Texas Marine Science Institute (UTMSI), with support from CBBEP and other agencies, have been monitoring the quality and condition of six sites within the Nueces Delta marsh ecosystem. Long-term ecological monitoring offers data and tools to evaluate ecological baselines and make informed and effective decisions for adaptive management of water and habitat resources (Montagna et al., 2009). The magnitude and longevity of long-term datasets, such as in the Nueces Delta, makes them extremely valuable for assessing historical change in ecological condition and predicting future responses to climate change stressors. Nueces Delta data have been used to assess short-term ecosystem responses to drivers such as riverine discharge (Stachelek & Dunton, 2013) or erosion disturbance (Dunton et al., 2019), but impacts of long-term stresses (e.g., drought, sea level rise) have not yet been quantified.

Research and conservation efforts should seek to develop a knowledge base that outlines the linkages amongst marsh ecosystem components and indicators of climate or anthropogenic stressors to assess marsh condition, stability, and resilience at various temporal and spatial scales. Marsh stability is a key factor in ecological resilience to climate change. South Texas marshes are highly variable and stressful environments with regards to salinity and water availability, due to low precipitation and high evaporation. The Texas coast represents a "zone of ecological instability" in which small disturbances can cause drastic shifts in vegetation cover and community composition (Osland et al., 2019). Changes in freshwater availability and salinity may lead to cascading effects on plant community composition and therefore overall marsh productivity, stability, and critical ecosystem functions and/or services (Osland et al., 2018; Spivak et al., 2019). Human activity, such as upstream watershed modifications, agriculture, industry, and coastal development, intensify these threats to marshes. Accelerated sea‐level rise will also likely have a large impact on coastal marshes (Fagherazzi et al., 2020; Saintilan et al., 2022). Historical and projected rates of relative sea-level rise (rSLR) along the Texas coast are among the highest globally due to land subsidence (0.47-0.79 m projected rSLR by 2050; (Sweet et al., 2022). Increases in sea levels are expected to push salinity gradients upslope and upstream, exacerbating hypersalinity and causing loss of marsh vegetation (Osland et al., 2022).

Studies funded by a variety of local, state, and federal agencies have examined several aspects of marsh health, such as freshwater inflow and plant community dynamics, within the Delta (Alexander & Dunton, 2002, 2006; Dunton et al., 2001, 2019; Forbes & Dunton, 2006; Henley et al., 1981; Hill et al., 2011; Montagna et al., 2017; Stachelek & Dunton, 2013). Large efforts have been made to improve freshwater inflow to the Delta, the most significant of which was the Rincon Bayou Demonstration project overflow channel construction (Dunton, Ward, Montagna, Whitledge et al., 2000). These studies provide an abundance of data from several sources, including measurements of meteorology and climate, water quality, and vegetation. However, a significant portion of this data is either not yet publicly available or is difficult to navigate and access. Ensuring data accessibility is not just a crucial component of open science practices, but also facilitates cross-collaboration and synthesis, public engagement with research, and more informed management decisions in dynamic ecosystems.

The objectives of this study were to (1) gather and synthesize the multitudes of data from the Nueces Delta to characterize drivers of marsh ecological condition, (2) continue and expand upon long-term data collection through a marsh vegetation monitoring program, and (3) address accessibility issues by synthesizing multiple data sources to provide CBBEP and resource agencies with the information needed to plan for the impacts of future environmental change on marsh habitats in the Nueces Delta. This approach allows us to identify relevant datasets, contribute to the continued generation and management of critical data, and analyze gaps and trends in previous research in the Nueces Delta in order to make recommendations for future studies and management action. It is vitally important that we understand and quantify how vegetation and ecological functioning in the Nueces Delta marsh has changed over time, so that we may make informed decisions in the face of rapid climate change.

The primary questions addressed by vegetation monitoring and data synthesis include:

- 1) What are the characteristics of Nueces Delta marsh vegetation communities, including their species composition and percent cover?
- 2) How are changes in marsh condition and environmental quality related to climate?
- 3) What are the characteristics of the current body of scientific literature regarding the Nueces Delta?

METHODS

Vegetation Monitoring Sampling Summary

Six sites within the Nueces Delta (254, 270, 271, 450, 451, 463) have been monitored from 1995 until present (no data collection June 2019-Jan 2023). For statistical rigor, we utilize a repeated measures design with fixed sampling stations to maximize ability to detect change. Transects were re-established in Feb 2023, with subsequent measurements collected at all sites in May, Aug, and Nov 2023, and Feb, Mar, and June 2024. Monitoring protocols are consistent with Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved methods historically conducted by UTMSI (EPA Q-TRAK#: 24-093).

Hydrographic measurements of temperature, salinity, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll*a* fluorescence, and pH were collected with a YSI 6920 data sonde in tidal creeks/open water adjacent to each site. Water samples were obtained at each station for determination of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and water column nutrient concentrations (ammonium (NH_4^+) , nitrate + nitrite (NO_3 ⁻ + NO_2 ⁻), phosphate (PO_4 ³⁻)). All sonde measurements and water samples were obtained after sediment resuspension due to boat disturbance ceased. Soil cores were taken every 10 m along four transects, starting at 0 m (or the shoreline, if the 0 m point was underwater) for analysis of (1) soil nutrients, (2) soil moisture, and (3) porewater chemistry. Lastly, two soil cores were taken along the shoreline of each site for analysis of soil organic matter (SOM) content.

At each site, species composition and percent cover were obtained from a quadrat sample collected every 2 m along the 20 m transects (every 4 m from 24-50 m at site 463). Percsent cover of species area was estimated via visual observation using a 0.25 m $^{-2}$ quadrat frame subdivided into 100 cells. Components assessed with percent cover included vegetation, wrack, bare substrate (i.e., cyanobacterial mat, mud flat, etc.), and water.

Data Synthesis Summary

Data synthesis comprised of the following tasks: (1) compiling long-term vegetation monitoring data collected by UTMSI researchers, (2) compiling long-term environmental monitoring data collected by Conrad Blucher Institute (CBI) at Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi staff (TAMU-CC), (3) performing a web search to identify and collate literature related to the Nueces Delta, and (4) synthesizing these multitudes of data.

Long-term vegetation monitoring data were downloaded from lab data management software (UT Box) or the CBI data portal [\(https://lighthouse.tamucc.edu/overview/\)](https://lighthouse.tamucc.edu/overview/), cleaned, and formatted using R Statistical Software (v4.3.2; R Core Team, 2023). All figures were made using the ggplot2 package (v3.5.0; Wickham, 2024).

Publications were identified via a web search of peer-reviewed (i.e., journal articles, books, etc.) and gray literature (i.e., reports, pre-prints, dissertations, etc.) using Google Scholar, Web of Science, and the University of Texas Libraries system. Combinations of keywords, such as "Nueces", "Nueces Delta", "Nueces Bay", "Rincon Bayou", and "Rincon Delta", were used to filter and select potential sources. Furthermore, we performed a manual search of print materials stored within labs and libraries at UTMSI. After compiling an initial database of sources in the open-source reference manager Zotero (Corporation for Digital Scholarship, 2024), we filtered out irrelevant sources, extracted metadata for all sources, and organized information into a Microsoft Excel database. Lastly, we performed a meta-analysis on the compiled database of literature to characterize patterns in publication type, date of publication, authorship, focus, and methodology.

Figure 1. Map of long-term monitoring sites managed by UTMSI (vegetation, soil, water) and CBI (water, weather) in the Nueces Delta.

RESULTS

Water and Soil Quality

Within the 2023-2024 sampling period, tidal creeks adjacent to monitoring sites had a mean water temperature of 25.28 ± 7.74 °C (mean \pm standard deviation) and salinity of 31.13 ± 6.62 . These are both higher than the long-term averages (**Table 1**). Dissolved oxygen concentrations were 8.56 \pm 3.37 mg L⁻¹ with an oxygen saturation of 116.53 \pm 29.66 % (**Table 1**). No hypoxic (\leq 2 mg L⁻¹) or low oxygen (≤ 3 mg L⁻¹) conditions have been documented since August 2010 (2.62 mg L⁻¹). Mean pH values were 7.74 ± 0.49 , lower than the long-term average (**Table 1**). All stations had pH values over 7.7 during the winter sampling, while pH values decreased at all stations during spring sampling (6.79-7.77). Chlorophyll-a concentrations varied widely from 4.3 to 41.6 mg L^{-1} . Water column nutrient concentrations were $0.80 \pm 1.06 \mu$ M and $0.00 \pm 0.00 \mu$ M for NH₄⁺ and $NO₃ + NO₂$, respectively. Mean TSS values were 61.95 \pm 59.08 mg L⁻¹, lower than the long-term average (**Table 1**). Stations located higher along the Rincon Bayou (451, 463) had much lower variability in tidal creek temperature than other stations, likely due to the reduced influence of tides from Nueces Bay with distance upstream (**Figure 1**). However, conductivity and salinity were highly variable at the end-most sites 463 and 270 (**Table 1**; **Figure 1**). Overall, the stations were quite similar in general characteristics.

Soil at vegetation monitoring sites had a mean water content (moisture) of 43.95 ± 7.45 % and porewater salinity of 46.33 ± 23.69 in 2023-2024. Porewater salinity values frequently met or exceeded thresholds for hypersalinity (>35), and many values greater than 100 were recorded. Moisture and salinity were both more stressful (drier, saltier) within the past year than the longterm average (**Table 2**). Mean porewater NH_4 ⁺ concentrations were $65.73 \pm 13.20 \mu M$ (**Table 2**). Lastly, SOM content in 2023-2024 averaged 8.36 ± 2.14 %, with site 271 having the highest value (11.73%; **Table 2**). Site 451 is the most extreme site, as it had the lowest soil moisture (33.4%), highest porewater salinity (92.4), and the greatest variability in both parameters (6.49% and 50.2, respectively). Overall, stations exhibited greater spatial and temporal variability in soil parameters versus corresponding tidal creek parameters, indicating the influence of both above and belowground factors (vegetation, microbial processes, elevation, etc.).

	Temperature	Specific Conductance	Conductivity	Salinity	DO Conc.	DO Saturation	pH	Chl a	NH_4 ⁺	$NO3 +$ NO ₂	PO ₄ ³	TSS
	$({}^{\circ}{\rm C})$	$(mS cm-1)$	$(mS cm-1)$		$(mg L^{-1})$	(%)		$(\mu g L^{-1})$	(μM)	(μM)	(μM)	$(mg L^{-1})$
254												
Mean	22.08	37.53	38.11	22.38	7.81	99.31	8.19	39.13	18.46	20.49	4.78	116.38
Std. Dev.	6.38	18.42	16.58	12.89	2.34	26.42	0.37	30.51	49.18	50.38	2.51	111.92
270												
Mean	24.20	36.16	32.45	24.98	8.52	107.48	7.95	11.02	2.86	1.09	6.15	61.37
Std. Dev.	6.36	14.87	13.47	12.74	1.69	28.42	0.43	9.90	4.87	4.20	3.99	39.83
271												
Mean	23.73	38.97	35.21	23.32	7.92	105.19	7.91	17.92	8.29	1.44	6.20	87.96
Std. Dev.	6.92	16.51	14.73	12.99	1.64	18.03	0.42	11.39	22.75	5.04	2.11	49.16
450												
Mean	22.13	31.06	41.58	23.34	11.04	90.17	8.01	17.76	2.57	0.31	6.07	74.44
Std. Dev.	6.17	18.42	16.55	13.18	54.72	16.31	0.33	13.40	3.85	0.77	4.46	39.92
451												
Mean	22.23	39.81	41.70	24.41	7.37	90.49	8.05	22.40	2.35	1.40	6.61	72.54
Std. Dev.	6.05	19.60	19.07	14.80	5.74	18.28	0.61	15.91	4.41	8.23	3.43	41.54
463												
Mean	24.33	22.17	35.96	26.52	9.18	106.99	8.28	32.92	2.79	2.43	4.26	117.54
Std. Dev.	6.00	17.10	26.22	18.38	11.20	21.35	0.40	21.63	4.48	9.25	5.00	63.84
Total												
Mean	22.50	32.71	39.38	24.24	8.78	95.59	8.10	27.04	5.95	4.04	5.68	88.37
Std. Dev.	6.23	18.10	19.41	14.46	29.29	21.82	0.46	22.92	22.23	20.75	3.67	64.66

Table 1. Summary of tidal creek hydrographic parameters from 2001-2024. Site with highest average per parameter in bold. Note: NH₄+/NO₃+NO₂: *2007-2018, 2023-2024, PO⁴ 3- : 2017-2019, TSS: 2023-2024.*

Table 2. Summary of soil parameters from 1995-2024. Site with highest average per parameter in bold. *Note: Moisture: 2001-2019, 2023-2024, Salinity: 1995-1997, 1999-2019, 2023-2024, NH⁴ + : 2007-2018, 2023-2024, NO³ -+NO² - : 2007-2017, 2023-2024, SOM: 2023-2024.*

Marsh Vegetation Cover and Species Distributions

The mean vegetation cover in 2024 for all sites in the Nueces Delta was 73.11 ± 42.89 %, comparable to the long-term average of ~74-75% (**Table 3**). The dominant plant species, *Salicornia virginica*, *Borrichia frutescens*, and *Batis maritima*, covered 39.32 ± 39.34 %, 14.18 ± 30.07 %, and 12.03 ± 22.68 % on average, respectively. While *Salicornia virginica* is dominant at every site, the relative dominance of *B. maritima* and *B. frutescens* varied widely between sites (7.10-27.95% and 1.55-27.18%, respectively), due to environmental conditions such as distance upstream, elevation, and salinity. These three species are consistently dominant long-term (**Table 3**). As in recent years, no *Limonium nashii*, *Suaeda linearis*, *Scirpus maritimus*, *Spartina spartinae*, *Iva frutescens*, or *Aster* spp. were found in 2024. One *Salicornia bigelovii* individual was found in a plot at site 451 during spring sampling. Additionally, *Monanthochloe littoralis* is only found in abundance at sites 451 and 463, and *Spartina alterniflora* is only found at sites 270 and 450 (**Table 3**; see **Appendix A** for species abbreviations). Overall, each monitoring site has a distinct and characteristic vegetation community based on its position within the Delta (**Figure 1**).

Despite the consistent dominance by three major species across the Delta, the vegetation community is not static. While the relative abundance of many of the rare species (i.e., *Aster* spp., *S. maritimus*, *S. spartinae*, *I. frutescens*, *L. nashii*) is consistently low (**Figure 2**), the proportions of more dominant species show unique patterns through the years. The three major species (*B. maritima*, *B. frutescens*, *S. virginica*) all show periods of change between 2002 and 2007. While *S. virginica* and *B. maritima* decrease during that period, *B. frutescens* increases. Other interesting patterns include the large decline in bare ground from ~2007-2009 followed by recovery until 2017, the high variability in *Distichlis spicata* coverage, and the decline in *S. alterniflora* from ~2007-present (**Figure 2**). In addition to long-term changes over time, rapid shifts in vegetation cover and composition have been observed after weather events (i.e., floods, Hurricane Harvey, etc.). Spring sampling in 2024 occurred both before and after Tropical Storm Alberto (~4 inches rainfall, 46 mph wind gusts, 3 ft storm surge; National Hurricane Center, 2024), allowing for observations of flooding impacts. All sites visited after the storm (270, 271, 254) had standing water levels upwards of 8 cm within the marsh and evidence of sediment overflow onto the marsh platform (**Figure 3a, 3b**). Furthermore, large bare patches at sites 270, 271, 451, and 463 continue to be observed under both flooded and dry conditions (**Figure 3b**). Abundant cyanobacterial mats were observed covering sizable portions of bare ground. Significant losses due to erosion or increases in water level continue to occur at sites 270, 450, and 463. At site 270, between 2 and 10 m of transects marsh have eroded from the historical shoreline. The presence of *S. alterniflora* has been reduced to an approximately 2 m band between the 6 and 8 m lines (**Figure 3c**). At site 450, the 0 m transect line has been underwater since approximately 2015, and the 2 m line is continuing to show signs of erosional collapse. At site 463, the 0 m line is underwater along four of the five transects, and the 50 m line along the Rincon Bayou is potentially at risk of erosion as well.

BM BF DS LN LC ML SB SV SA SL SM SS IF AT Other Wrack Bare 254 *Mean* **16.76 17.18** 9.30 0.01 0.56 0.13 0.42 **40.30** 3.59 0.95 0.001 0 0.02 0.01 0.17 8.55 2.0 *Std. Dev.* 25.59 29.24 19.27 0.31 2.98 2.03 3.80 36.62 15.14 6.00 0.06 0 0.84 0.12 2.89 23.3 8.97 **270** *Mean* 11.01 **27.29** 3.86 0.05 1.51 0.003 0.04 **26.12** 8.49 0.63 0.71 0 0.21 0.003 2.09 4.85 **12.84** *Std. Dev.* 18.62 32.26 11.71 1.11 5.94 0.14 1.58 33.88 23.57 4.96 6.36 0 3.11 0.11 13.64 15.79 34.34 **271** *Mean* **14.84 22.95** 3.39 0.07 0.18 0.003 0.03 **39.48** 0.07 0.31 0.005 0.04 0 0.02 0.10 8.35 10.21 *Std. Dev.* 25.56 34.08 12.54 0.27 1.40 0.13 0.41 39.53 1.97 3.62 0.16 1.30 0 0.89 1.72 24.02 25.57 **450** *Mean* **13.38 24.73** 2.99 0.07 0.40 0 0.01 **41.75** 4.0 0.90 0 0 0.14 0.02 0.37 6.26 4.65 *Std. Dev.* 19.67 33.52 9.19 0.75 2.10 0 0.37 35.56 13.56 5.19 0 0 2.94 0.61 4.80 18.35 17.45 **451** *Mean* 7.08 **11.79** 1.91 0.26 3.43 3.69 2.73 **8.05** 0.11 1.65 0 0 0.01 0.10 6.62 4.73 **47.82** *Std. Dev.* 16.18 28.35 9.96 3.62 12.71 15.82 11.15 21.40 3.08 7.95 0 0 0.43 2.05 24.13 15.81 45.16 **463** *Mean* **15.41** 12.03 2.74 0.15 3.74 **13.13** 3.14 9.74 0.003 1.55 0.001 0.10 0.01 0.34 3.70 3.99 **30.19** *Std. Dev.* 23.31 27.44 11.32 1.12 11.84 27.45 12.64 24.03 0.23 7.80 0.04 1.90 0.47 3.89 16.24 14.55 38.62 **Total** *Mean* 13.41 **18.31** 3.85 0.10 1.93 4.28 1.35 **25.07** 2.33 1.08 0.10 0.03 0.06 0.12 2.39 5.82 **19.67** *Std. Dev.* 22.22 31.53 12.77 1.59 8.51 16.83 8.23 34.67 12.23 6.40 2.42 1.13 1.68 2.26 13.95 18.53 35.70

Table 3. Summary of plant areal percent cover (%) from 1995-2024. Three most dominant cover types in bold. *Note: does not include 2020-2022.*

10

Figure 2. Long-term trends in vegetation percent cover at UTMSI monitoring sites in the Nueces Delta. Points represent site-level percent cover values across all sites for each plant species. Overall trends are represented by ribbons (mean, 95% confidence interval).

Figure 3. a) Sediment washover deposited from flooding during Tropical Storm Alberto (June 2024) on *Salicornia virginica* and *Batis maritima* plants near the 20 m mark at site 254, b) Cyanobacterial mats under ~8 cm of flood water at site 254 after Tropical Storm Alberto, c) PVC poles marking former plot locations along the shoreline of site 270 (foreground pole: 2 m mark on Transect 1, background pole: 6 m mark on Transect 5).

Data Synthesis

Data Accessibility Challenges and Solutions

UTMSI and CBI are both organizations with long-standing monitoring networks within the Nueces Delta. Despite the demonstrated value of long-term monitoring data, neither of these databases are easily accessible. The vegetation, soil, and water quality data collected by UTMSI has never previously been published in full. This database will be published on the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) data management site (DOI forthcoming). While the CBI environmental data is published in a web portal, the difficulty of web navigation and data download reduces access. For example, it was challenging to find information on inactive sites that may have previous data and to manage data downloads, as the server would often fail to complete the request. It took several attempts to fine-tune the proper query parameters to retrieve data from the web portal, and this only allowed for downloads of a few years of data at a time. After retrieving ten individual files, each had to be extensively cleaned before they became useful. These challenges are not insurmountable for someone experienced with data management and/or web scraping, but they may be preventative for a broader public audience. Furthermore, both databases are only available for download in spreadsheet format, which is ideal for researchers but further reduces the ability for a lay audience to learn from the efforts of the monitoring programs. We propose implementing a dashboard-style approach, via a Shiny app, to improve public engagement with the data (Chang et al., 2024). Shiny apps implement open science practices by using easily reproducible R code to process and publish data in an interactive dashboard and allowing web visitors to execute R commands and view results without needing prior coding experience (**Figure 4**).

Figure 4. GWSDAT is an example of proposed Shiny dashboard app for visualization, analysis, and reporting of groundwater monitoring data (Jones et al., 2014).

Comparing Uses for UTMSI and CBI Data

As mentioned above, the UTMSI and CBI datasets are both extremely valuable based on their longevity and consistency. However, each dataset is best suited for specific purposes. For example, CBI takes a broad thematic approach, aiming for wide spatial coverage and sampling general environmental quality parameters (**Table 4**). The UTMSI dataset, on the other hand, focuses solely on vegetation marsh habitats and the soil and water parameters that are most relevant for these critical areas, filling a major gap in monitoring (**Table 4**). While CBI data is collected at high frequency across a wide range of sites throughout the Delta, several of these sites are missing data for multiple years at a time or for multiple months within a year, leading to inconsistent spatiotemporal coverage. In fact, since 2020, there are only two active stations within the Delta, both located far up the Rincon Bayou (NUDE2 and NUDEWX; **Figure 1**; **Appendix B**). In contrast, the UTMSI dataset has fewer sites and less frequent sampling but has consistent temporal coverage across those sites, facilitating easier spatiotemporal analyses of change. Overall, both sources of data act synergistically to provide a holistic assessment of vegetation, soil, water, and weather over the past several decades.

UTMSI	CBI
Vegetation-focused monitoring	General environmental monitoring
Consistent temporal coverage across sites	Temporal coverage across sites is inconsistent
Good spatial coverage within delta habitats	Broader spatial coverage across delta, river, and bay habitats
No meteorological data	Weather station provides meteorological data
Monthly to quarterly sampling frequency	Hourly sampling frequency

Table 4. Characteristics of UTMSI and CBI datasets. See **Appendix B** for more information.

Assessing Effects of Climate Change through Monitoring Data

By combining the UTMSI and CBI datasets, we can begin to understand how vegetation and ecological functioning in the Nueces Delta has changed over time and how that change is related to climate. Firstly, water quality parameters collected by UTMSI largely match with data from CBI sites within the Delta. For example, tidal creek salinity and water temperature measurements follow very similar patterns within Delta sites (**Figure 5a-5d**). However, the UTMSI values seem to have higher variability and greater extremes across most parameters. The Bay and River CBI sites typically have lower salinity and DO, but higher pH, than the Delta sites (**Figure 5a, 5c, 5d**). Most notably, the salinity in the Delta ranges from 0 to nearly 100, while the Bay salinity rarely deviates outside of 10-45 (**Figure 5a**). In general, the Delta sites have greater variability in water quality, pointing to the dynamic and extreme nature of the Delta marsh system.

Figure 5. Long-term change in key water quality parameters at CBI and UTMSI monitoring sites: a) Salinity, b) Water Temperature, c) pH, d) Dissolved Oxygen Concentration. Data points are color-coded by organization and general location. Overall trends are represented by ribbons (mean, 95% confidence interval).

Key water quality and meteorological parameters also demonstrate interesting temporal patterns. Nearly all parameters show changepoints around 2007, 2012, and/or 2017. The largest changes occurred in salinity, precipitation, relative humidity, and wind speed (**Figure 5, Figure 6**). Notably, salinity experienced two extreme oscillations from low to high values between 2004 and 2012 (**Figure 5a**). These increases correspond to extreme droughts experienced throughout the region. Furthermore, trends in the precipitation data at the CBI weather station follow this pattern, as precipitation was zero in 2009, 2011, and 2012, when salinities spiked (**Figure 6b**). Additional smaller spikes in the salinity data also match up with periods of no recorded precipitation at the weather station. Relative humidity values steadily declined to extremely low values from 2008 to 2014, these were consistently around 75-90% until 2023, and have begun to decline again (**Figure 6d**). It is unclear what drives this pattern, but it is likely related to drought conditions as relative humidity is determined by the temperature and water content of the air (Lawrence, 2005). Lastly, wind speed values in the Bay dropped from 2015-2017 (**Figure 6e**).

Many of the shifts observed in water quality and meteorological parameters correspond to observed shifts in the vegetative community within the Delta. At the landscape-scale, species such as *B. maritima*, *B. frutescens*, *D. spicata*, *L. carolinianum*, *M. littoralis*, and *S. bigelovii*, and unvegetated cover types, like wrack and bare, appear to demonstrate varying levels of change in relative abundance around 2007, 2012, and/or 2017 (**Figure 2**). This ten-year period seems to be one of high variability and change within the Delta. Furthermore, vegetative assemblages may display stronger correlations to environmental parameters at the individual site level, as we've demonstrated that position within the Delta plays an important role in structuring communities.

Findings from Literature Search

We identified approximately 150 initial sources of interest, ranging from the mid-1900s to present. After manually filtering out sources that did not explicitly focus on or provide data on the Nueces watershed system, we ended up with 125 final sources (**Appendix D**). Of those, the vast majority were published journal articles $(n = 57)$ and reports $(n = 50; \text{Table 5})$. Most reports were written for and published by state agencies, such as Texas General Land Office, or resources managers, such as CBBEP or Texas Water Development Board. The major focus on the Nueces Delta was launched by the influx of federal funding from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation in the mid-1990s, resulting in several publications and reports throughout the early $21st$ century (n = 112; **Table 5**). Many of these studies have been published by the same authors or groups of authors (Montagna, Dunton, Tunnell, Palmer, and Hill) from UTMSI and Harte Research Institute for Gulf of Mexico Studies at TAMU-CC (**Appendix E**). Furthermore, many studies have focused on the changes in or impacts of hydrology/freshwater inflows ($n = 53$), the geologic history of the estuary ($n = 32$), and the wetland habitats ($n = 25$). Studies on fish, reptiles, birds ($n = 7$ total), and pollution ($n = 5$) seem to be underrepresented within the body of literature (**Table 5**). Lastly, salinity ($n = 68$), weather ($n = 124$), and water quality ($n = 187$) are commonly measured parameters throughout most studies (**Table 5**).

Figure 6. Long-term change in meteorological parameters at CBI monitoring sites: a) Air Temperature, b) Precipitation, c) Barometric Pressure, d) Relative Humidity, e) Wind Speed, f) Wind Direction. Data points are color-coded by organization and general location. Overall trends are represented by ribbons (mean, 95% confidence interval).

Source Type	Count	Publication Date	Count	Study Focus	Count	Measurements	Count
Journal Article	57	1960s and earlier	$\boldsymbol{0}$	Hydrology/Inflows	53	Salinity	68
Report	$50\,$	1970s	$\mathbf{1}$	Geology	$32\,$	Precipitation	41
Thesis	8	1980s	$\overline{4}$	Wetlands	$25\,$	Temperature	39
Dissertation	5	1990s	$8\,$	Monitoring/Restoration/Management	$24\,$	Water Depth	37
Book	3	2000s	45	Salinity	20	Dissolved Oxygen	34
Conference Paper	$\overline{2}$	2010s	53	Invertebrates	13	pH	31
		2020s	14	Sediments	14	Nutrients	24
				Plankton	12	Inflow	26
				Fish/Reptiles	6	Biomass	20
				Pollutants	5	Wind Speed/Direction	19
				Climate	$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$	Vegetation Percent Cover	14
				Birds	$\mathbf{1}$	Barometric Pressure	$10\,$
						Chlorophyll a	12
						Isotopes	10
						Relative Humidity	$8\,$
						Solar Radiation	$\overline{7}$
						Respiration	6
						Evaporation	4
						Total Suspended Solids	$\overline{4}$

Table 5. Key characteristics of the Nueces Delta body of literature, including publication type, date of publication, focus, and methodology.

Photosynthesis 3

CONCLUSIONS

Marsh Abiotic and Biotic Conditions

Overall, water and soil quality at the vegetation monitoring sites appear to be within an acceptable range for a productive marsh. There is no evidence of either excessive nutrient loading (low tidal creek nutrient levels and high dissolved oxygen concentrations observed) or nutrient limitation (sufficient porewater ammonium concentrations observed). While water column temperature, salinity, and pH are all within normal ranges for the Texas Coastal Bend, these parameters all have extremely high variability within the Delta. TSS represents potential sediment delivery to marsh sites. Values of TSS were generally high, indicating turbid waters, but were highly variable across sites and over time, justifying the need for continued sampling. Soil moisture and porewater salinity are inversely related. Drier sites tend to have higher salinities due to reduced tidal flushing and high evaporation. Porewater salinity reached extreme values (>100) for extended periods at many sites. Spatial parameters, such as distance upstream and elevation, are likely the key factors in explaining these differences in water and soil quality between sites. Furthermore, climatic conditions, such as precipitation, seem to be likely drivers of changes in abiotic factors, such as salinity and soil moisture, in the marsh. Hypersalinity caused by drought has the potential to be the most critical stressor and driver of vegetation change in the Nueces Delta.

Each monitoring site displayed unique communities of marsh vegetation. This variation is reflective of differences in abiotic factors, such as salinity, moisture, and nutrient concentrations. Ultimately, differences in these factors are a result of fine-scale spatial heterogeneity in elevation or topography, freshwater inflow, and tidal influence. We observed an increase in high marsh species (i.e., *M. littoralis*, *S. linearis*) with distance upstream (sites 451 and 463). Intertidal species, such as *S. alterniflora*, were only observed at low elevations at sites nearest to Nueces Bay. It appears that *S*. *alterniflora* and other low marsh species may all but disappear from the monitoring sites in the future. The brackish species *S. maritimus* has already been lost and has not been recorded at any sites since 2008.

At all sites, significant changes in both vegetation cover and community composition have been observed over time. Many of the shifts correspond to changes in water quality and climate. At the landscape-scale, the ten-year period from 2007-2017 seems to be one of high variability and change within the Delta. While the community may be shifting in composition, a more worrying trend is the loss of vegetation altogether due to erosion and/or increases in water level. Multiple sites have already lost anywhere from 2-10 m of shoreline that could be potential low marsh colonization area, the most severe example being site 270 (~8-10 m retreat). Site 270 is among the closest sites to the wave action of Nueces Bay and has the lowest concentration of TSS in the water column, indicating potential sediment starvation. In addition, persistent high salinities can lead to vegetation dieback, expansion of interior bare patches, and the reduction of sediment stabilization via belowground biomass. Recolonization of saline bare patches will only occur in the most disturbance- or salinity-tolerant species (i.e., *S. bigelovii*, *D. spicata*) or when salinities are ameliorated by freshwater events. Low, intertidal species can colonize new ground after erosion and an increase in water levels, but shorelines within the Nueces Delta may be too steep due to erosion. Marsh management efforts should focus not only on sediment delivery, but also on preserving vegetation abundance and productivity. Strategies may include freshwater inflows management, thin layer placement, and living shorelines.

Data Synthesis Discussion and Future Recommendations

Linking marsh vegetation dynamics to climate is a data-intensive process. Data from environmental monitoring stations, such as those managed by CBI, provide environmental parameters useful for contextualizing vegetation change, but they are not enough to perform an indepth statistical modeling effort, due to previously mentioned limitations in spatial and/or temporal coverage. Additional sources of data such as PRISM (Oregon State University, 2014) and NOAA (NOS CO-OPS, 2013) are needed to supplement in-situ measurements for further characterizations of the environmental drivers of marsh vegetation community composition over time. These datasets are publicly available and easily accessible, further demonstrating their value and emphasizing the need to improve accessibility of our Nueces Delta datasets. Our proposed solution, a web-based Shiny app, will significantly improve ease of use and increase engagement with the data for researchers and the public alike.

In completing a literature search, we learned that most studies in the Nueces Delta have focused on freshwater inflows and salinity. This is clearly an important research topic given their demonstrated impact on many different habitats and populations. However, there is a stark lack of representation of fish, reptiles, birds, and other fauna within the identified body of literature. Furthermore, it appears that there are very few studies analyzing the vast amounts of monitoring data on longer time scales. Long-term analyses are extremely important for validating trends observed in short-term studies and for predicting future change, and therefore, collecting consistent long-term monitoring data is even more important. Coastal marshes are well-known for the critical ecosystem functions they perform, but research quantifying levels of various ecosystem functions, such as primary productivity, carbon sequestration, and wave attenuation, are scarce. Although the publication rate in the Nueces Delta has waned in recent years, there remains an urgent need to understand the ecological processes within this dynamic system so that we may make informed decisions in the face of threats from rapid climate change.

Future research efforts should prioritize a focus on higher trophic levels, ecosystem functions, and improvement of long-term data quality and accessibility. The utility of long-term data is limited not only by access, but also by the quality and consistency of data collection and management. If high-quality environmental data were made easily accessible, it would reduce the barriers for many studies to proceed, filling several gaps in the literature. Implementation of an in-depth data management plan should be a prerequisite for any future programs funded within the Nueces Delta.

APPENDIX

Abbreviation	Species Name
BM	Batis maritima
BF	Borrichia frutescens
DS	Distichlis spicata
LN	Limonium nashii
LC	Lycium carolinianum
ML	Monanthochloe littoralis
SB	Salicornia bigelovii
SV	Salicornia virginica
SA	Spartina alterniflora
SS	Spartina spartinae
SL	Suaeda linearis
SM	Scirpus maritimus
IF	Iva frutescens
AT	Asteridae
Bare	No vegetation
Wrack	Dead vegetation
Other	Transient species

Appendix A. Description of species abbreviations in **Table 3** and **Figure 2**.

Appendix B. Spatial and temporal metadata for CBI environmental monitoring stations.

Appendix C. Additional data from CBI and UTMSI monitoring sites. Stations are color-coded by organization and general location.

Appendix D. Raw results from Nueces Delta literature search. Excel spreadsheet will be provided.

Author	Count	Author	Count
Montagna	25	Lu	3
Dunton	14	Yoskowitz	3
Tunnell	8	Adams	$\overline{2}$
Palmer	7	Buzan	$\overline{2}$
Hill	7	Simms	\overline{c}
Hodges	5	Breier	$\overline{2}$
Ward	5	Forbes	$\overline{2}$
DelRosario	5	Gordon	\overline{c}
Roelke	5	Stachelek	\overline{c}
Kalke	5	Murgulet	$\overline{2}$
Heinsch	$\overline{4}$	Rony	$\overline{2}$
Buyukates	$\overline{4}$	Steffan	$\overline{2}$
Heilman	$\overline{4}$	Rizzo	$\overline{2}$
Lloyd	$\overline{4}$	Smith	$\overline{2}$
McInnes	4	Santschi	$\overline{2}$
Calnan	$\overline{4}$	Ritter	$\overline{2}$
Nicolau	3	Hutchison	$\overline{2}$
Li	3	Tremblay	$\overline{2}$
White	3	Wiles	$\overline{2}$
Schoenbaechler	3	Hoeinghaus	$\overline{2}$
Guthrie	3	Matsumoto	$\overline{2}$
Liu	3	Williams	$\overline{2}$
Baxter	3	King	$\overline{2}$
Rasser	3	Arsuffi	\overline{c}
Alexander	3	Withers	$\overline{2}$
Morton	3	Cobos	$\overline{2}$
Tolan	3	Stewart	$\overline{2}$
Zimba	3	Tennant	$\overline{2}$
Nelson	\overline{c}	Turner	$\overline{2}$
Gibeaut	3	Pollack	$\mathbf{1}$

Appendix E. Top 60 most identified authors within the Nueces Delta body of literature. All remaining authors had only one publication. Ten most published authors in bold.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, H. D., & Dunton, K. H. (2002). Freshwater inundation effects on emergent vegetation of a hypersaline salt marsh. *Estuaries*, *25*(6), 1426–1435. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02692236
- Alexander, H. D., & Dunton, K. H. (2006). Treated Wastewater Effluent as an Alternative Freshwater Source in a Hypersaline Salt Marsh: Impacts on Salinity, Inorganic Nitrogen, and Emergent Vegetation. *Journal of Coastal Research*, *22*(2), 377–392. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4300295
- Chang, W., Cheng, J., Allaire, J. J., Sievert, C., Schloerke, B., Xie, Y., Allen, J., McPherson, J., Dipert, A., & Borges, B. (2024). *shiny: Web Application Framework for R*. https://shiny.posit.co/
- Corporation for Digital Scholarship. (2024). *Zotero* (6.0.35) [Software]. https://www.zotero.org/ (Original work published 2006)
- Dunton, K. H., Hardegree, B., & Whitledge, T. E. (2001). Response of Estuarine Marsh Vegetation to Interannual Variations in Precipitation. In *Estuarine Research Federation Estuaries* (Vol. 24, Issue 6A, pp. 851–861).
- Dunton, K. H., Ward, G. H., Montagna, P. A., & Whitledge, T. E. (2000). *Rincon Bayou Demonstration Project* (p. 307) [Concluding Report]. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.24130
- Dunton, K. H., Whiteaker, T., & Rasser, M. K. (2019). *Patterns of Emergent Vegetation in the Rincon Bayou Delta, 2005-2016* (Final Report to Texas Water Development Board 1600011971; p. 50). University of Texas at Austin.

https://www.twdb.texas.gov/publications/reports/contracted_reports/doc/1600011971.pdf

- Fagherazzi, S., Mariotti, G., Leonardi, N., Canestrelli, A., Nardin, W., & Kearney, W. S. (2020). Salt Marsh Dynamics in a Period of Accelerated Sea Level Rise. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *125*(8), e2019JF005200. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005200
- Forbes, M. G., & Dunton, K. H. (2006). Response of a subtropical estuarine marsh to local climatic change in the southwestern gulf of Mexico. *Estuaries and Coasts*, *29*(6), 1242– 1254. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02781824
- Henley, D. E., Rauschuber, D. G., Land, Program (U.S.), W. R. D., Team (U.S.), N. C. E., Fish, U. S., & Region 2, W. S. (1981). *Freshwater Needs of Fish and Wildlife Resources in the Nueces-Corpus Christi Bay Area, Texas: A Literature Synthesis*. Biological Services Program, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. https://books.google.com/books?id=S94QEQK9aZYC
- Hill, E. M., Nicolau, B. A., & Zimba, P. V. (2011). History of Water and Habitat Improvement in the Nueces Estuary, Texas, USA. *Texas Water Journal*, *2*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.21423/twj.v2i1.2104
- Jones, W. R., Spence, M. J., Bowman, A. W., Evers, L., & Molinari, D. A. (2014). A software tool for the spatiotemporal analysis and reporting of groundwater monitoring data.

Environmental Modelling & Software, *55*, 242–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.01.020

- Lawrence, M. G. (2005). The Relationship between Relative Humidity and the Dewpoint Temperature in Moist Air: A Simple Conversion and Applications. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *86*(2), 225–234. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-86-2- 225
- Montagna, P. A., Palmer, T., Gil, M., Hill, E., Nicolau, B., & Dunton, K. (2009). *Response of the Nueces Estuarine Marsh System to Freshwater Inflow: An Integrative Data Synthesis of Baseline Conditions for Faunal Communities* (Final Report to the Coastal Bend Bays and Estuaries Program 0821; p. 33).
- Montagna, P. A., Sadovski, A. L., King, S. A., Nelson, K. K., Palmer, T. A., & Dunton, K. H. (2017). Modeling the effect of water level on the Nueces Delta marsh community. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, *25*(6), 731–742. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273- 017-9547-x
- National Hurricane Center. (2024). *Remnants of Alberto Public Advisory*. National Weather Service. https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/text/refresh/MIATCPAT1+shtml/202042.shtml?
- NOS CO-OPS. (2013). *Coastal meteorological and water temperature data from National Water Level Observation Network (NWLON) and Physical Oceanographic Real-Time System (PORTS) stations of the NOAA Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services (CO-OPS)* [dataset]. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/CO-OPS-NWLON-PORTS

Oregon State University. (2014). *PRISM Climate Group* [dataset]. https://prism.oregonstate.edu

- Osland, M. J., Chivoiu, B., Enwright, N. M., Thorne, K. M., Guntenspergen, G. R., Grace, J. B., Dale, L. L., Brooks, W., Herold, N., Day, J. W., Sklar, F. H., & Swarzenzki, C. M. (2022). Migration and transformation of coastal wetlands in response to rising seas. *Science Advances*, *8*(26), eabo5174. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abo5174
- Osland, M. J., Gabler, C. A., Grace, J. B., Day, R. H., McCoy, M. L., McLeod, J. L., From, A. S., Enwright, N. M., Feher, L. C., Stagg, C. L., & Hartley, S. B. (2018). Climate and plant controls on soil organic matter in coastal wetlands. *Global Change Biology*, *24*(11), 5361–5379. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14376
- Osland, M. J., Grace, J. B., Guntenspergen, G. R., Thorne, K. M., Carr, J. A., & Feher, L. C. (2019). Climatic Controls on the Distribution of Foundation Plant Species in Coastal Wetlands of the Conterminous United States: Knowledge Gaps and Emerging Research Needs. *Estuaries and Coasts*, *42*(8), 1991–2003. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-019- 00640-z
- R Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (4.3.2) [Software]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Saintilan, N., Kovalenko, K. E., Guntenspergen, G., Rogers, K., Lynch, J. C., Cahoon, D. R., Lovelock, C. E., Friess, D. A., Ashe, E., Krauss, K. W., Cormier, N., Spencer, T., Adams, J., Raw, J., Ibanez, C., Scarton, F., Temmerman, S., Meire, P., Maris, T., … Khan, N.

(2022). Constraints on the adjustment of tidal marshes to accelerating sea level rise. *Science*, *377*(6605), 523–527. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abo7872

- Spivak, A. C., Sanderman, J., Bowen, J. L., Canuel, E. A., & Hopkinson, C. S. (2019). Globalchange controls on soil-carbon accumulation and loss in coastal vegetated ecosystems. *Nature Geoscience*, *12*(9), 685–692. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0435-2
- Stachelek, J., & Dunton, K. H. (2013). Freshwater inflow requirements for the Nueces Delta, Texas: Spartina alterniflora as an indicator of ecosystem condition. *Texas Water Journal*, *4*(2), 62–73. https://twj-ojs-tdl.tdl.org/twj/article/view/6354/6484
- Sweet, W. V., Hamlington, B. D., Kopp, R. E., Weaver, C. P., Barnard, P. L., Bekaert, D., Brooks, W., Craghan, M., Dusek, G., Frederikse, T., Garner, G., Genz, A. S., Krasting, J. P., Larour, E., Marcy, D., Marra, J. J., Obeysekera, J., Osler, M., Pendleton, M., … Zuzak, C. (2022). *Global and Regional Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the United States: Updated Mean Projections and Extreme Water Level Probabilities Along U.S. Coastlines* (Technical Report NOS 01; p. 111). National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service. https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/hazards/sealevelrise/noaa-nostechrpt01-global-regional-
- Wickham, H. (2024). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org

SLR-scenarios-US.pdf